IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

(1) WRIT PETITION NO. 240 OF 2005
ALONG WITH
(2) WRIT PETITION NO. 976 OF 2005
WITH
(3) WRIT PETITION NO. 977 OF 2005
WITH
(4) WRIT PETITION NO. 978 OF 2005
WITH
(5) WRIT PETITION NO. 979 OF 2005
WITH
(6) WRIT PETITION NO. 980 OF 2005
WITH
(7) WRIT PETITION NO. 981 OF 2005
WITH
(8) WRIT PETITION NO. 982 OF 2005
WITH
(9) WRIT PETITION NO. 983 OF 2005
WITH
(10) WRIT PETITION NO. 984 OF 2005
WITH
(11) WRIT PETITION NO. 985 OF 2005
WITH
(12) WRIT PETITION NO. 986 OF 2005
WITH
(13) WRIT PETITION NO. 987 OF 2005
WITH
(14) WRIT PETITION NO. 988 OF 2005
WITH
(15) WRIT PETITION NO. 1000 OF 2005
WITH
(16) WRIT PETITION NO. 1001 OF 2005
WITH
(17) WRIT PETITION NO. 1002 OF 2005
WITH
(18) WRIT PETITION NO. 1003 OF 2005
WITH
(19) WRIT PETITION NO. 989 OF 2005
WITH
(20) WRIT PETITION NO. 1413 OF 2005

Union of India ... Petitioner

Vs.

Smt. Dr. Geeta Rawat and Ors. ... Respondents

None for Petitioners.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
MRS.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.
DATE : 28th July, 2006

P.C.:

. On the last occasion, we had granted time to the Petitioners with further instructions that if the

respondent is not served then the petition will be dismissed for non prosecution. When the matter is called out, none present for the Petitioners. Nothing is available before this court to show that the steps were taken to serve respondents. In the light of that Petitions dismissed for non prosecution.

(MRS.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**